

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/640,606	KHANOLKAR ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Taghi T. Arani	2131	

All Participants:

(1) Taghi T. Arani.

(2) George A. Willman.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 25 April 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner raised 112 2nd paragraph issues regarding the phrase "the user" at the end of claims 1 and 35 with Mr. Willman and the Abstract of Disclosure not complying with 35 U.S.C 111(b) (more that 150 words). He authorized an examiner amendemnt to replace the phrase "the user" to "a user" and he agreed to rewrite the abstract to replace the current Abstract in an examiner amendment..